Remarks on the purpose of the Heidelberg Catechism regarding its teaching nature

As is well-known, the Heidelberg Catechism (HC) has not only become one of the most important confessions of faith since the 16th century, it has also been a significant aid to catechesis, even to this day. In this contribution, the catechetical purpose of the HC is examined with regard to its origins. Three texts that accompanied the first editions of the Heidelberg Catechism in 1563 are scrutinised: two prefaces by Frederick III, and the Palatine Church Order. The youth as well as the rest of the congregation, should be guided in the fear of the Lord so that they may attain sufficient knowledge of and a living faith in the Lord of Scripture. The HC does not merely have theoretical purposes. Its contents and structure were carefully composed to teach the most significant parts of Christian faith in a short and simple way on a regular and frequent basis. This teaching is not only the responsibility of the church or minister, but also of the parents and the teachers. In this comprehensive approach to instruction in the Christian faith, there is no contradiction between Scripture as the Word of God and the HC. Hence, teaching and preaching the HC does justice to the command that the gospel should be proclaimed, preached, taught, learned and understood.

A confession, a book of comfort ... And a catechism

During the 450th commemoration of the HC in 2013, and with the prospect of many a jubilee, a discussion of the importance and influence of this ‘little book’ over the past four and a half centuries would hardly be ground-breaking. People living in Germany would perhaps be astonished to know that there are regions in the world where the name Heidelberg does not only refer to a city, but also to a catechism. This should come as no surprise as the Heidelberg Catechism, featuring in the same premier league as Luther’s Small Catechism and the Westminster Catechism, is regarded by many a scholar as one of the most important confessions of faith in this form since the Reformation.1 Some even regard it as the ‘most well-known confession of the church’ (Van ’t 2

1. For references to the original textus receptus of 1563, the latest standard text critical edition by Wilhelm H. Neuser in the series, Reformierte Bekenntnisse (cf. Neuser 2009), was used.

2. In the English-speaking Reformed and/or Presbyterian world, the Westminster Shorter Catechism has the same status and popularity as Luther’s Small Catechism in the Lutheran world and the Heidelberg Catechism in the continental, North American, South African and Asian, especially the Korean, Reformed and/or Presbyterian world.
Spijker 2005),3 ‘a document that has proven itself to be an enduring and inspiring monument to the Reformed faith’ (Gunnor 2005:15). The number of translations, republications and reprints of the HC in the time directly after 1563 bears witness to the fact that, even then, it was ‘one of the most significant texts of the reformed church’ (Sehling 1969:43), whilst in modern times it has maintained its status as one of the most translated confessions of faith across the world.

Although much has been said about the origins, structure and the contents of this little compendium of reformed faith, with its 129 easily surveyable questions, and even though one can almost anticipate the research, lectures and publications on the HC that will emerge during 2013, many themes will perhaps not be investigated in much depth at all. One of them would seem to be a theme that is at first glance conceivably obvious, yet when considered more thoroughly, is actually one of the most complicated subjects regarding the HC – namely the whole matter of catechetics itself, which concerns the teaching of the confession.

That the HC, not least since its conception, was meant as an aid to catechesis is not only evident from its outline as a catechism, but also from the title it has borne right from the first edition: ‘Catechism or Christian instruction as it is practised in churches and schools of the Electoral Palatinate’ (Sehling 1969:41).4

The interest in the HC, however, is not only concerned with the confession as a historical phenomenon. An investigation of its catechetical aspect is also worthwhile due to the fact that the HC, after four and a half centuries since its initial publication, continues to be one of the most widely used catechisms, that is textbooks for teaching children and adults in the world (cf. Faulenbach 2002:3, 6; Gruch 1996:8). Various theological reasons could be given to explain why a ‘pedagogical textbook’ dating back to the 16th century is still used so extensively as a catechism on various continents and in a variety of translations. However, an examination of these considerations would exceed the scope of this article.5

This enquiry is therefore limited to an analysis of remarks made in three key texts that accompanied the HC in its first year of publication. These are two prefaces by Frederick III, prince-elector of the Palatinate as well as the Church Order – all three from 1563:

1. The preface of Frederick III to the first edition of the HC, 19 January 1563 (Frederick III 2009:174–175).
2. The preface of Frederick III to the first edition of the Palatine Church Order in which the third edition (sometimes spoken of as the fourth, since it was a republication of the third edition) of the HC was published – the text of the HC, which ultimately became the textus receptus (cf. Neuser 2009:172),6 15 November 1563 (Frederick III 1969:333–335).

Preface to the first edition of the Heidelberg Catechism (1563)

In his preface to the first edition of the HC in January 1563, Frederick III discusses the reasons why there was an urgent need for a catechism of this nature. First of all, he emphasises the fact that a good government not only has the task of taking care of various aspects of its subjects’ lives – leading them in decent, honest and virtuous conduct – but it also has to guide and instruct them into the righteous insight and fear (reverence) of God Almighty and his Word of salvation as the only foundation of all virtues and obedience (Frederick III 2009:174).7 He expresses his concern that, due to the lack of a good catechism and a thorough education, the youth of his principality are growing up without the fear of God or knowledge of his Word (Frederick III ibid:174).8

Frederick III stresses the fact that the youth should be ‘instructed’. He uses the German verb ‘unterweisen’ [instruct or teach] and the German noun ‘unterweisung’ [instruction or teaching] no less than five times in this short preface (Frederick III 2009:174, 35/37; 175, 12/14/24). He does this in combination with other terms like educate (‘auflerzogen’; Frederick III ibid:175, 24), trained (‘gebildet’; Frederick III ibid:175.3) or teaching/instruction (‘Unterricht’), which, as a noun, is also used as a synonym for catechism in the title; Frederick III ibid:174, 1) as well as twice near the end of the preface (‘unterricht oder’ Catechismum’; Frederick III ibid:175.9; ‘Catechismum oder Unterricht’; Frederick III ibid:175, 18). Frederick III’s use of these concepts stresses the dynamic, lively and active way in which he viewed the whole topic of catechetics and the indispensable role of a catechism, in this case the HC, in it.

When considering this, it is noteworthy that the address of Frederick III – not only to the ministers, preachers and ‘church servants’ (the ‘Pfarherrn’ or ‘Prediger’ as well as ‘Kirchen-dienern’), but also to the school teachers (Schuldienern) – relates to his aim for the catechism, namely that the HC, as an aid for the teaching of the youth, has a comparably important role in the schools, as in churches (congregations). Later on (Frederick III 2009:175–181) he speaks again about churches and schools, preachers and schoolteachers or schoolmasters (Schulmeister). In his

3Refer to the back cover of Van ’t Spijker (2005): ‘Het bekendste belijdenisgeschrift van de kerk − dat was en is de Heidelbergsche Catechismus.’
5The original text, also in the exact local spelling of the Palatinate of the mid-sixteenth century, reads as follows: ‘Nach dem wir uns auf erinnerung Gotts Wortes, auch natürlicher gicht und verwundmüßlich schuldig erkennen, Und endlich furgenommen, Unser von Gott befohlen Ampt, beruff und regierung, nicht allein zu friedlichem, rügem waxen, auch zu erhaltung züchtigen aufrichtigen und Tugensamen wandels und lebens Unserer unterthänen, zuerthen und anzustellen: Sonders auch und fürnehmlich dieselbe zu rechtsschoffen erkanntmützig und forthe des Almächtigen, und seines seligmachenden Worts, als dem einigen fundament aller Tugenden und gehorsams, je lenger je mehr anzuweiszen und zu bringen. Auch also sie zur ewigen und zeitlichen woffart ungespart viefl von grund unsers hertzens gern befürthend, und so viel an uns, dabey erhalten helfen wolten‘ (Frederick III 2009:174).
6For the relationship between the pedagogical and confessional aspects of the Heidelberg Catechism, cf. inter alia Hollweg (1968:3–37).
7The original text, also in the exact local spelling of the Palatinate of the mid-sixteenth century, reads as follows: ‘Nach dem wir uns auf erinnerung Gotts Wortes, auch natürlicher gicht und verwundmüßlich schuldig erkennen, Und endlich furgenommen, Unser von Gott befohlen Ampt, beruff und regierung, nicht allein zu friedlichem, rügem waxen, auch zu erhaltung züchtigen aufrichtigen und Tugensamen wandels und lebens Unserer unterthänen, zuerthen und anzustellen: Sonders auch und fürnehmlich dieselbe zu rechtsschoffen erkanntmützig und forthe des Almächtigen, und seines seligmachenden Worts, als dem einigen fundament aller Tugenden und gehorsams, je lenger je mehr anzuweiszen und zu bringen. Auch also sie zur ewigen und zeitlichen woffart ungespart viefl von grund unsers hertzens gern befürthend, und so viel an uns, dabey erhalten helfen wolten‘ (Frederick III 2009:174).
8Langerak sums the purpose of Frederick III up in commissioning the composition of the HC ‘and instructing the ministers and school masters to use it was to refute erroneous doctrine and to drive it out of his realm in order that the subjects of his realm would be ‘built up in sound doctrine’ (Langerak 2010:31). ‘When the Catechism was threatened by Lutheran and Roman Catholic princes and theologians alike, he ably defended it before those kings and rulers at the Diet of Augsburg in 1566 (Langerak ibid).
description of the deplorable state of the youth in the Palatine electorate or principality (‘Charurfürstentum’), he speaks about ‘schools and churches of our electorate’ where the youth have an insufficient knowledge of Christian doctrine (and in some places no knowledge at all) due to the lack of a solid, uniformly applied catechism. However, not only the churches and schools are mentioned or addressed. Besides Christian and worldly offices (‘Christliche und weltliche ämpter’) as well as state authority (‘Regiment’), Frederick III also discusses families (‘haushaltungen’; Frederick III 2009:174, 40). At the end of his address, he once again raises the matter of the youth in ‘schools and churches’ and even mentions the ‘pulpit’ (‘auff der Cantzel’), clearly signifying preaching (Frederick III ibid:175, 21).

The fact that Frederick III addresses schools and churches, teachers and ministers in the same breath is indicative of his holistic outlook on education and teaching – a view generally shared by all the Reformed. Religious teaching is not restricted to the ‘church’. It is not only the minister’s responsibility to teach a child Scripture. When this view (i.e. the inherent relevance and application of Christian instruction to every aspect of human endeavour) is combined with the reformed emphasis on the proclamation and teaching of the gospel, it may have more implications for our comprehension of the HC than is usually considered when studying it. The clause in life and in death in the introductory question of the HC (Q/A 1) is therefore meant to be comprehensive and all-inclusive.

The point of departure for Frederick III, near the beginning of his preface, is ‘the knowledge and fear of the Almighty’ and his saving Word (‘seligmachendes Wort’). This principle, ‘the knowledge and fear of the Almighty’, brings to mind Proverbs 1:7a: ‘The fear of the Lord is the beginning of knowledge’, and stands at the centre of catechetics. Later on in the preface, when giving the reasons for the need for the HC, he points to a condition that is the opposite of Proverbs 1:7 – youth that ‘have grown up without fear of God and knowledge of his Word’, because ‘they have had no co-ordinated teaching’ (‘keine eintrechtige underweisung’; Frederick III 2009:174, 37).

The Erkenntnis [knowledge] mentioned here is a constant theme running through the preface – as it is throughout the HC itself. In this regard, one should take into account that in German there is an important distinction between mere Kenntnis and Erkenntnis – the latter has a kind of comparative or even superlative effect, that is it is a more intensive form of Kenntnis. In English, both words are usually translated as ‘knowledge’, although the German concept of Erkenntnis implies knowledge as well as acknowledgment. However, in the HC and in Frederick III’s preface, ‘knowledge’ should be understood against the backdrop of faith, as it is formulated in Q/A 21, where ‘knowledge’ is the translation for Erkenntnis – or erkanntnuß in the old German of the Palatinate (Heidelberger Katechismus 2009):

What is true faith? True faith is not only a sure knowledge whereby I hold for truth all that God has revealed to us in His Word, but also a hearty trust, which the Holy Spirit works in me by the Gospel, that not only to others, but to me also, forgiveness of sins, everlasting righteousness, and salvation are freely given by God, merely of grace, only for the sake of Christ’s merits. (p. 180)\\n\n\nThe point that Frederick III makes is explicit. In his first paragraph, he begins with the Word of God, and in his last paragraph he once again emphasises that teaching and education should be ‘in the Word of God’.\n\n11 For him, there is no contradiction between Scripture as the Word of God and the HC. The Word of God itself is taught when the HC is taught. This reminds one of Bullinger’s expression ‘prædictio verbi Dei est verbum Dei’ as a marginal note to his Confessio helvetica posterior (1562/1566), dating from the same period as this preface by Frederick III.\n
Preface to the first edition of the Palatine Church Order (1563)

Almost ten months after Frederick III wrote his preface to the first edition of the HC on 18 January 1563, he again addresses the deans (superintendents), ministers and preachers as well as the ‘church and school servants [= teachers]’ in a similar way. This time he does it in his preface to the Palatine Church Order on 15 November 1563 (Frederick III 1969:333). He commences by recapitulating the key reasons for publishing the HC. Referring to the many blunders and inconsistencies in the teaching and education of the youth as well as the consequent misunderstandings and lack of order, he expresses the desire that the Catechism would be a remedy for these deficiencies. The future teaching would be reliable and consistent. 11 It is apparent from this that the aim of the ‘father’ of the HC was to present a standard textbook, a kind of ‘handbook’, with regard to the content as well as the method according to which the youth should be educated. He speaks about this catechism as a summary of the teaching (‘summarisch[er] underricht’) of our Christian religion from the
Word of God (Frederick III ibid:335). Frederick III underlines the trustworthy character of the ‘lehr’ [teaching] and ‘instituierung’ [instruction] of the youth. It is his contention that, through the teaching as well as the external ceremonies, the youth should be guided to the ‘proper knowledge of the divine Word and will in a concerted and coherent way, based on Holy Scripture’ (Frederick III ibid:335).

It is consequently not too much of a stretch to conclude that when Frederick III says, concerning the Palatine Church Order, that its main purpose is the dissemination of the saving Word of God and the Christian edification of the subjects of the Palatinate, he is also referring to the HC (Frederick III 1969:335).13

The Palatine Church Order of 1563

The status of the Church Order of the Palatinate

Although the two prefaces of Frederick III and the Palatine Church Order were composed in 1563 – the year in which the HC and the Church Order were both authorised and published – there is one crucial difference between the prefaces on the one hand and the Church Order on the other. Unlike the two prefaces, the text of the Church Order was officially sanctioned and consequently has authoritative status (cf. Lurz 1998:74).14 Furthermore, because of the confessional features of a church order (according to the reformed view of the church; cf. Niesel 1938:v), the fact that the HC is included in the Church Order and the manner in which it is embedded in the document, point to the close relationship between the purpose of the Catechism and the concrete application of it.15 Hence, the Church Order’s introduction to the HC and other related remarks are of particular importance concerning the teaching character of the Catechism.

The Catechism – Embedded in the context of the proclamation of the Word

In the Palatine Church Order of 1563, the introduction to the catechism – ‘On the Catechism’ (Vom cathechismo; Sehling 1969:341–342) – gives us an important insight into the place and function of the HC with regard to its origins as well as into the intention of its authors and the churches of the Palatinate.16 It is worth noting that this introductory section is situated relatively early in the Church Order, directly after the formulary for baptism, which is preceded by the two introductions to the first parts, that is (1) on prayer and (2) on teaching and preaching.17 The placement of the catechism and its introduction at the very beginning of the Church Order, within the immediate context of prayer, preaching and the two sacraments (the catechism is situated between the baptism and the Lord’s Supper),18 indicates not only an emphasis on the teaching of the catechism, but also highlights the fact that the HC and the teaching thereof is seen as essential to the whole question of the edification of the church and the Reformation per se. Of course, it also underscores the role of the catechism in guiding a church member from receiving the covenant sign of God’s promises (baptism) to the point of embracing these promises in faith (Lord’s Supper).

In this regard, the introduction to baptism as well as the accompanying baptism formulary (Sehling 1969:337–341), includes some important notes on the teaching of children. One example is found in the prayer of thanksgiving at the end of the formulary where the Christian education (‘daß es christlich und gottselig auferzogen werde’; Sehling ibid:341) of the child is mentioned. The second example is in the words to the congregation at the end of the formulary, which address the friends and relatives, and especially the fathers and godfathers (i.e. parents and godparents). They (the parents and godparents) should spare no pains (‘ihr soll […] allen fleiß anwenden’; Sehling ibid):

‘On the Catechism’

The introductory exposition on the topic of catechism in the Palatine Church Order starts with a definition of catechism and outlines the aim of catechism (Sehling 1969):

Catechism in our Christian religion means a short and simple oral account of the most significant parts of Christian teaching, concerning which the young and unlearned [einopoligen = simple-minded or unlearned] are questioned, which questions they must answer with what they have learned. (p. 341)19

It is within the context of this education that the catechism, which directly follows the formulary for baptism, should be applied.

14 ‘[… ] zugleich in der lehr und auch in den eusserlichen ceremonis zu rechter erkandamÜ ß göttliches wort und willens durch einen einrichtungen in der heiligen schrift gegründetem weg gebracht und geführt möchten werden’ (Frederick III 1969:335).

15 ‘[…] so seind wir der ungezweiften zuversicht, das werde zur außbreitung deß seligmachender worts Gottes, auch christlicher auferbauung unserer underthonen member from receiving the covenant sign of God’s promises

16 Thus to answer with what they have learned. (p. 341).

17 The sequence of the contents of this first section of the Palatine Church Order is as follows:

- Eingang der predig und ermahung zum gebeth für die predig [An introduction to sermons and exhortation to prayer for the sermon]
- Von der lehr und predig [Concerning the teaching and the proclamation/sermon]
- Von h.(f. fleece) [Concerning the holy baptism]
- Form zu taufen[formula for the baptism]
- Von catechismo [Concerning the catechism]
- Catechesim [Catechism, i.e. the heidelberg Catechism].

18 This first section of the Church Order could be subtitled: ‘The proclamation of the Word’.


20 ‘Catechesim in unser christian religion heist ein kurzer und einfeltiger, daher auch einfeltigen worts Gottes, auch christlicher auferbauung unserer underthonen kinder zu geben und sie gnadig und recht glaubig die wahrheit von Gott und dessen willen zu verstehen und zu wissen in die christlichen gottlichen artickel des christlichen glaubens und der lehre, welche von Gott auß dem himel offenbarend und dem alten und neuen testament begriffen ist, dem herrn Christo auferzogen werde’. © 2009-2010 by Lothar Reuter
In this context, *einfeltigen* indicates those who have a lack of knowledge of Scripture and faith, rather than those with cognitive disabilities. What is interesting, however, is that catechetical teaching is not aimed at the youth alone, but also at other members of the church who lack knowledge of Scripture. The holistic point of departure in teaching is explicitly mentioned again. Relating to the Early Church, the three classical spheres of religious teaching (home, school and church) are included (Sehling 1969):

> For all pious people from the commencement of the Christian Church have been careful to instruct their children in the fear of the Lord at home as well as in schools and churches — and undoubtedly for the following reasons — which should also incite us thereto. (p. 341)\(^\text{27}\)

Thereafter the introduction on the Catechism furnishes three main reasons for this instruction.

Firstly, as the natural depravity of the heart (*angeborne bößheit*) will prevail, leading to the dismantling of church and civil authorities, only sound teaching (*heilsamer lehr*) can counter it.\(^\text{24}\)

Secondly, the Church Order substantiates the use of the HC in the teaching of the children by referring to the explicit commandment (*außstrucklich bevelch*) of the Lord. Here, the Church Order (after mentioning Ex 12:26–27, 13:8–9, 14,\(^\text{26}\) Dt 4:37–40,\(^\text{27}\) 6:1–9,\(^\text{28}\) 11:18–21)\(^\text{29}\) quotes Deuteronomy 6:6–7:

> ‘...your hands and bind them on your foreheads...’ (Sehling 1969:341).

Thirdly, the necessity of teaching the children (and other unlearned) by means of a catechism is deduced from both the history of revelation in the Old Testament as well as from church history (Sehling 1969:341). This was the case ‘till Satan, through the antichrist, the pope’, demolished this practice of catechetical teaching by replacing it with the ‘[F]irmung’ (i.e. the ‘confirmation’); Sehling ibid:342.\(^\text{30}\) Here, the Reformation’s intention with catechetical teaching generally, and in presenting the HC in particular, is quite clear: it is in direct opposition to the practice of the Roman sacrament of ‘confirmation’. The sound teaching of the catechism is necessary as a corrective remedy.

### The way the catechism should be used...

**Reicting the catechism**

Under the subheading about the form in which the catechism teaching should be done (‘Soll derhalben der catechismus auf nachfolgenden form gehalten werden’; Sehling 1969:342), the method of using the catechism in the churches (congregations) is discussed. The discussion outlines the necessity of the public and corporate reading of an excerpt from the catechism every Sunday and on religious holidays\(^\text{31}\) in all the villages, towns and cities. The excerpt should be read in a way that renders the catechism clear and understandable. Taking into account the fact that most adults in those days would have been illiterate, one can understand the impact of this requirement.

What is of interest, though, is that the Church Order not only determines that the catechism be read in these churches. It also prescribes down to the finest detail (the ‘Lord’s Day’ or even the specific question) which sections of the catechism should be read in order to get through the whole catechism over the course of nine successive Sundays (‘das er in neun Sontagen aufgelesen werde’; Sehling 1969:342).

**Preaching the catechism**

Subsequent to the stipulation about reciting the whole catechism over the course of nine Sundays, the Church Order then gives the second requirement for using the catechism in the congregation, namely the preaching of it on Sunday afternoons at a time that suits the individual locations.\(^\text{32}\) Again, with regard to all the elements (hymn,
prayer, reading from Scripture and Decalogue),\(^3\) the Church Order prescribes the exact manner and order according to which this catechism service should be conducted. After this, the Church Order stipulates the way in which the minister should explain the catechism. He should focus first on the text and then on the various questions, taking into account those who cannot learn the questions that are expounded.\(^4\) Thereafter, the minister should let some of the young people recite specific questions in the catechism, namely those that were the subject of the sermon just prior to the teaching of the catechism and, more importantly, those that are going to be treated in the next sermon. These questions should have been learned and memorised beforehand, at school or at home. For this very reason, the catechism has been divided into Sunday sections (sections for the Lord’s Day).\(^5\) Subsequently, after these questions have been recited by some in the presence of the congregation, the minister should give a brief and clear explanation of several of the next questions with the aim of preaching on the whole catechism at least once during the course of each year.\(^6\)

**Conclusion**

As the ruler of the Palatinate, Frederick III’s focus regarding the faith, education and the edification of his subjects, centred on the honour of God, on the one hand, and the church of the Lord Jesus Christ,\(^7\) particularly the youth, on the other. His concern that the youth of his principality would grow up with a lack of fear of God and a lack of knowledge of his Word weighed heavily on him. In his two prefaces, he therefore repeatedly stresses the urgent need for a solid biblical catechism. There is one solution to the ignorance of the youth and other people: comprehensive and thorough instruction. In this instruction, the correct use of the HC is crucial in producing sufficient knowledge of Scripture and a living faith in the Lord of Scripture amongst the youth as well as the rest of the subjects of his principality. In this regard, religious education is not only the responsibility of the church, but also that of the families and the schools, and teaching the Word of God is not only the task of the minister, but also that of the parents and the teachers. Frederick III, and the men responsible for such matters, opted for a holistic and comprehensive approach to teaching, since teaching the HC is, amongst other things, the obedient answer to the Lord’s decree in Deuteronomy 6:6–7 to teach his Word diligently.

The knowledge and fear of the Lord, which can only be achieved by proclaiming the Word of God, are considered central to the aim and purpose of teaching the HC. There is no contradiction between Scripture as the Word of God and the HC. Of course, this does not at all imply that the HC is considered equal to Scripture or that it has the same inherent authority as Scripture. Rather, it should be understood within the context of a key principle of the Reformation, namely that the preaching of the Word of God is the Word of God (*praedicatio verbi Dei est verbum Dei*). Even the setting of the HC early in the Palatinate Church Order, between the sacraments of baptism and the Lord’s Supper, accentuates this aspect.

The HC did not merely have theoretical purposes. Its character, contents and structure were carefully composed to briefly and simply teach the most significant parts of the Christian faith to the whole congregation, but particularly to the young and the uneducated. The teaching was meant to be regular and frequent, with much repetition. In this sense, teaching the HC as sound doctrine was also explicitly intended as a direct remedy for the Roman malpractice of ‘confirmation’. The youth should be able to learn at home, in the schools and in the church, until they have advanced sufficiently in their knowledge of Scripture and faith and are able to comprehend the Gospel.

Therefore, teaching the HC does justice to the biblical command that the gospel should be proclaimed, should be preached, should be taught – and should be learned and understood. Hence, it is fair to say that the words of Deuteronomy 6 resonate in the background when the HC is being taught and learned: ‘And thou shalt teach these words diligently …’
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